

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 04229 071348Z

44

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-10 ISO-00 ERDA-05 AF-06 ARA-06

CIAE-00 DODE-00 EA-06 PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NASA-01

NEA-10 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01

OES-03 SS-15 USIA-06 SAJ-01 /118 W

----- 115814

R 071258Z AUG 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3044

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 5402

USMISSION GENEVA

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 4229

DISTO

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, PARM

SUBJ: REPORTING CCD ACTIVITIES TO NATO

1. BY LETTER DATED AUGUST 5, 1975 (TEXT BELOW), UK NATO DELEGATION HAS ADVISED NOAT DISARMAMENT DIVISCN CHIEF (PABSCH), AND OTHER ALLIES REPRESENTED AT CCD, OF DECISION TAKEN BY ALLIED CCD DELEGATIONS ON REPORTING THE ACTIVITIES OF THAT ORGANIZATION TO NATO. IN ESSENCE, CHANGES CALL FOR LESS FREQUENT REPORTS AND USE OF SUMMARY FORMAT. TEXT OF CHANGES RECOMMENDED BY ALLIED CCD DELS IS ALSO TRANSMITTED BELOW.

2. WE BELIEVE THESE CHANGES WILL BE ACCEPTABLE TO NON-CCD ALLIES AND ASSUME WASHINGTON CONCURS IN THEM

3. BEGIN TEXT OF UK LETTER:

1. AT THE LAST MEETING OF NATO DISARMAMENT EXPERTS (BRUSSELS, 8-9 APRIL) THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION OF THE REGULAR REPORTS WHICH DELEGATIONS TO THE CCD IN GENEVA TRADITIONALLY SEND TO NATO
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 04229 071348Z

VIA THE UK DELEGATION. I BELIEVE IT WAS AGREED THAT WHILE THESE REPORTS SERVED A USEFUL PRUPOSE, THEIR FORMAT AND FREQUENCY COULD USEFULLY BE RE-EXAMINED, AND THE DELEGATIONS CONCERNED IN GENEVA WERE ASKED TO GIVE THOUGHT TO THE MATTER.

2. THESE DELEGATIONS HELD A MEETING IN GENEVA ON 17 JULY TO DISCUSS THE QUESTION, AND MY AUTHORITIES HAVE ASKED ME TO PASS ON TO YOU THE ENCLOSED COPY OF AN AGREED RECONRD LISTING THEIR CONCLUSIOONS. YOU WILL SEE THAT WHILE REPORTS ON CCD PROCEEDINGS WILL BE SENT TO NATO SOMEWHAT LESS FREQUENTLY THAN BEFORE AND WILL BE DRAFTED IN A SUMMARY FORM, THEY WILL BE ITEMISED AND INDEXED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE THE MAXIMUM OF USEFUL MATERIAL AND WILL OF COURSE GIVE FULL DETAILS OF ANY NEW PROPOSAL MADE AT THE CCD. THE UK DELEGATION WILL CONTINUE TO ARRANGE FOR THEIR CIRCULATION AT NATO HEADQUARTERS.

3. I AM COPYING THIS LETTER FOR INFORMATION TO THE NATO DELEGATIONS OF THE OTHER ALLIES REPRESENTED AT THE GENEVA MEETING(CANADA, FRG, ITALY, NETHERLANDS, AND THE US).

END TEXT OF UK LETTER.

4. BEGIN TEXT OF CHANGES RECOMMENDED BY ALLIED CCD DELS:

AFTER DISCUSSION , THE FOLLOWING WAS AGREED.

1. THE CCD NATO DELEGATIONS SHOULD REPORT TO NATO IN FUTURE TWICE A SESSION, IE EACH REPORT WOULD COVER APPROXIMATELY 6 WEEKS.

2. THE FRG DELEGATION WOULD KINDLY CONTINUE THE INFROMAL ARRANGEMENT WHEREBY THEY FORWARDED COPIES OF ALL RELEVANT CCD DOCUMENTAIIION TO MR PABSCH IN PTHE NATO SECRETARIAT.

3. THE UK DELEGATION WOULD CONTINUE TO FORWARD STENCILS OF THE REPORT TO THEIR DELEGATION AT NATO FOR CIRCULATION.

4. THE FUTURE SECHEDULE OF REPORTING DELEGATIOS WOULD BE AS FOLLOWS:

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 04229 071348Z

1ST HALF OF THE SUMMER SESSION, 1975

(IE UP TO THEEND OF JULY) - FRG

2ND HALF OF THE SUMMER SESSION - ITALY

1ST HALF OF THE SPRING SESSION, 1976 - NETHERLANDS

2ND HALF OF THE SPRING SESSION, 1975 - UK

1ST HALF OF THE SUMMER SESSION, 1976 - US

2ND HALF OF THE SUMMER SESSION, 1976 - CANADA.

5. THE REPORTS SHOULD IN FUTURE BE DRAFTED IN SUCH A FORM THAT:

A. THE PROCEEDINGS WERE REPORTED IN A COMPRESSED, SUMMARY FORM.

THUS, FOR EXAMPLE, ONLY SIGNIFICANT POINTS MADE IN SPEECHES
WOULD BE MENTIONED.

B. ANY NEW PROPOSALS SHOULD BE FULLY REPORTED.

C. THE REPORT SHOULD END WITH AN INDEX, WITH CROSS-REFERENCES
BOTH TO THE DATE AND TO THE PV NUMBER OF ANY STATEMENT
REFERRED TO.

D. A REPORT WOULD COVER A PERIOD BY DEALING WITH THE MATTERS
DISCUSSED UNDER SUBJECT HEADINGS, EG PNES, CE, TRANSFERS
OF CONVENTIONAL ARMS ETC. WHERE THERE WAS AN OBVIOUS
SEPARATION OF ALIGNMENT: EAST, WEST AND NON-ALIGNED, THIS
TOO MIGHT BE BROUGHT OUT, WHERE APPROPRIATE.

6. THE DELEGATION DRAFTING EACH REPORT SHOULD CONTINUE TO
CIRCULATE IT TO THE OTHER 5 DELEGATIONS IN DRAFT FORM FOR ANY
COMMENTS OR ADDITIONS.

END TEXT OF CHANGES RECOMMENDED BY ALLIED CCD PARIS.
STREATOR

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 07 AUG 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: izenbei0
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO04229
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750897/abbrzljip.tel
Line Count: 136
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: izenbei0
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 23 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <23 APR 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <24 SEP 2003 by izenbei0>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: REPORTING CCD ACTIVITIES TO NATO
TAGS: PFOR, NATO, PARM
To: STATE INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS
GENEVA
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006